REALITIES OF GENERAL MEETINGS OF SHAREHOLDERS IN JAPAN

By MITSUHIRO HIRATA*

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to clarify realities of general meetings of shareholders in Japan. The availed data is Kabunushisokai-hakusho, i.e. White Paper on General Meetings of Shareholders yearly published by Commercial Law Center Inc. in Tokyo. This White Paper is a report of the investigation on ordinary general meetings of shareholders in Japanese companies. The investigation has once a year been conducted by Commercial Law Center Inc. since 1971. A questionnaire form has been used as the method of investigation. The investigated companies are all listed on the Tokyo Stock Exchange, the Osaka Securities Exchange or the Nagoya Securities Exchange. The numbers of companies to which the questionnaires were sent by mail and those which gave answers to them from 1976 to 1980 are as follows:

Year	Number of companies to which the questionnaires were sent by mail (A)	Number of companies which gave answers to the question- naires (B)	Ratio of (B) to (A)
1976	1,682	724	43.0%
1977	1,693	763	45.1
1978	1,725	774	44.9
1979	1,705	708	41.5
1980	1,715	633	36.9

This paper deals with three matters. They are realities of shareholders who attended at a general meeting, expedition of the proceedings in it and solicitation for proxies.

2. Realities of General Meetings of Shareholders in Japan

To begin with, let's clarify realities of shareholders who attended at a general meeting. They are composed of those who attended at it actually and by proxies. Table 1 provides ratio of number of shareholders who attended at a general meeting to the total number of shareholders. From this table we can see that the ratio showed between 15 and 30% in about the half (44 to 60%) of the companies which gave answers. Moreover it showed 5% and under in about 12 to 30%. Table 2 provides ratio of number of shares owned by

^{*} Professor (Kyōju) of Business Administration.

Number of Companies () %

shareholders who attended at a general meeting to the total number of the issued shares. From this table we can see that the ratio showed between 60 and 90% in the majority (63 to 83%) of the companies which gave answers. Table 3 provides number of shareholders who

TABLE 1. RATIO OF NUMBER OF SHAREHOLDERS WHO ATTENDED AT A GENERAL MEETING TO THE TOTAL NUMBER OF SHAREHOLDERS

													F ()	,,
Answer (%) Year	5 and under	over 5 to 10	over 10 to 15	over 15 to 20	over 20 to 25	over 25 to 30	over 30 to 35	over 35 to 40	over 40 to 45	over 45 to 50	over 50	Number of Answers	Number of No Answers	Total
1976	197 (28.2)	24 (3.4)	27 (3.9)	95 (13.6)	125 (17.9)	100 (14.3)	50 (7.2)	27 (3.9)	17 (2 4)	10 (1.4)	27 (3.9)	699 (100.0)	25	724
1977	90 (12.2)	11 (1.5)	41 (5.5)	125 (16.9)	177 (24.0)	131	61 (8.3)	43 (5.8)	16 (2.2)	13 (1.8)	31 (4.2)	739 (100.0)	24	763
1978	228 (29.5)	19 (2.5)	40 (5.2)	137 (17.7)	132 (17.1)	68 (8.8)	50 (6.5)	35 (4.5)	30 (3.9)	3 (0.4)	30 (3.9)	772 (100.0)	2	774
1979	90	8 (1.2)	61 (8.9)	143 (20.8)	167 (24.3)	105 (15.3)	49 (7.1)	39 (5.7)	9 (1.3)	6 (0.9)	11 (1.6)	688 (100.0)	20	708
1000	173	15	47	146	97	59	33	25	12	4	12	623	10	633

Source: White Paper on General Meetings of Shareholders, 1976-1980.

TABLE 2. RATIO OF NUMBER OF SHARES OWNED BY SHAREHOLDERS WHO ATTENDED AT A GENERAL MEETING TO THE TOTAL NUMBER OF THE ISSUED SHARES

Answer (%)	10 and under	over 10 to 20	over 20 to 30	over 30 to 40	over 40 to 50	over 50 to 60	over 60 to 70	over 70 to 80	over 80 to 90	over 90	Number of Answers	Number of No Answers	Total
1976	24 (3.4)	29 (4 2)	26 (3.7)	33 (4.7)	27 (3.9)	92 (13.2)	129 (18.5)	196 (28.1)	114 (16.3)	28 (4 0)	698 (100.0)	26	724
1977	16 (2.1)	7 (0.9)	6 (0.8)	13 (1.7)	16 (2-1)	67 (8.9)	183 (24.2)	263 (34.8)	146 (19.3)	39 (5.2)	756 (100.0)	7	763
1978	24 (3.2)	26 (3.4)	29 (3.8)	30 (4.0)	43 (5.7)	79 (10.4)	173 (22.8)	224 (29.5)	113 (14.9)	18 (2.4)	759 (100.0)	15	774
1979	(1.0)	11 (1.6)	10 (1.5)	7 (1.0)	8 (1 2)	57 (8.4)	160 (23.5)	247 (36.3)	155 (22.8)	18 (2.6)	680 (100.0)	28	708
1980	13 (2.1)	18 (2.9)	29 (4.7)	25 (4.0)	33 (5.3)	67 (10.8)	134 (21.6)	188 (30 4)	98 (15.8)	14 (2.3)	619 (100.0)	14	633

Source: White Paper on Generel Meetings of Shareholders, 1976-1980.

TABLE 3. NUMBER OF SHAREHOLDERS WHO ATTENDED AT A GENERAL MEETING ACTUALLY

Answer (Persons)	1-20	21-40	41-60	61-80	81-100	101-150	151-200	201-300	301 and over	Number of Answers	Number of No Answers	Total
1976	53 (7,5)	165 (23.3)	147 (20 8)	114 (16.1)	65 (9.2)	64 (9.1)	44 (6.2)	28 (4.0)	27 (3.8)	707 (100.0)	17	724
1977	46 (6.0)	184 (24.2)	176 (23.1)	92 (12.1)	77 (10.1)	77 (10.1)	44 (5.8)	38 (5.0)	27 (3.5)	761 (100.0)	2	763
1978	58 (7.5)	167 (21.6)	162 (21.0)	114 (14-8)	72 (9 3)	89 (11.5)	40 (5.2)	41 (5.3)	29 (3.8)	772 (100.0)	2	774
1979	40 (5.8)	166 (23.9)	168 (24.2)	89 (12.8)	74 (10 6)	81 (11.7)	30 (4.3)	35 (5.0)	12 (1.7)	695 (100.0)	13	708
1980	36 (5.8)	127 (20.6)	153 (24.8)	95 (15.4)	66 (10.7)	67 (10.8)	34 (5.5)	28 (4.5)	12 (1.9)	618 (100.0)	15	633

Table 4. Ratio of Number of Shares Owned by Shareholders Who Attended at a General Meeting Actually to the Total Number of the Issued Shares

Number of Companies () %

Answer (%) Year	0.01 and under	over 0.01 to 0.05	over 0.05 to 0.1	over 0.1 to 0.5	over 0.5 to 1	over 1 to 3	over 3 to 5	over 5 to 10	over 10 to 15	over 15 to 20	over 20 to 30	over 30	Number of Answers	Number of No Answers	Total
1976	29 (4.1)	37 (5.2)	26 (3.7)	62 (8.7)	61 (8.6)	112 (15.8)	60 (8.5)	84 (11.8)	68 (9.6)	40 (5.6)	58 (8.2)	72 (10.2)	709 (100.0)	15	724
1977	24 (3.2)	32 (4.2)	14 (1.9)	68 (9.0)	70 (9.3)	132 (17.5)	71 (9.4)	98 (13.0)	61 (8.1)	51 (6.8)	68 (9.0)	66 (8.7)	755 (100.0)	8	763
1978	29 (3.8)	35 (4.6)	21 (2.7)	64 (8 4)	66 (8.6)	113 (14.8)	80 (10.5)	105 (13.7)	57 (7.5)	47 (6.2)	65 (8.5)	82 (10.7)	764 (100 0)	10	774
1979	31 (4.5)	40 (5.8)	24 (3.5)	68 (9.9)	59 (8.6)	115 (16.8)	49 (7.1)	86 (12.5)	62 (9.0)	48 (7.0)	53 (7.7)	51 (7 4)	686 (100.0)	22	708
1980	14 (2.3)	26 (4.2)	23 (3.7)	73 (11.8)	54 (8.8)	103 (16.7)	45 (7.3)	68 (11.0)	65 (10 5)	42 (6.8)	57 (9.2)	47 (7 6)	617 (100.0)	16	633

Source: White Paper on General Meetings of Shareholders, 1976-1980.

TABLE 5. RATIO OF NUMBER OF SHARES OWNED BY SHAREHOLDERS WHO ATTENDED AT A GENERAL MEETING BY PROXIES TO THE TOTAL NUMBER OF THE ISSUED SHARES

Number of Companies () %

Answer (%)	10 and under	over 10 to 20	over 20 to 30	over 30 to 40	over 40 to 50	over 50 to 60	over 60 to 70	over 70 to 80	over 80 to 90	over 90	Number of Answers	Number of No Answers	Total
1976	19 (3.5)	39 (7.2)	17 (3.1)	39 (7.2)	29 (5.4)	97 (18.0)	150 (27.8)	125 (23.1)	57 (10.6)	17 (3.1)	540 (100.0)	184	724
1977	4 (0.5)	12 (1.6)	28 (3.8)	37 (5.0)	45 (6.1)	116 (15.8)	195 (26.5)	195 (26.5)	92 (12.5)	16 (2.2)	736 (100.0)	27	763
1978	8 (1.3)	10 (1.7)	19 (3.2)	24 (4.0)	41 (6.9)	93 (15.7)	159 (26.8)	150 (25.3)	68 (11.5)	13 (2.2)	593 (100 0)	181	774
1979	7 (1.0)	16 (2.4)	26 (3.9)	23 (3.4)	42 (6.2)	122 (18.1)	150 (22.3)	192 (28.5)	83 (12.3)	12 (1.8)	673 (190.0)	35	708
1980	(0.4)	9 (1.8)	14 (2.7)	14 (2.7)	35 (6.8)	83 (16.2)	123 (24.0)	157 (30.7)	64 (12.5)	11 (2.1)	512 (100.0)	121	633

Source: White Paper on General Meetings of Shareholders, 1976-1980.

Table 6. Ratio of Number of Shares Owned by Shareholders Who Attended at a General Meeting by Proxies to the Total Number of Shares Owned by Those Who Attended at It

Number of Companies () %

Answer (%)	10 and under	over 10 to 20	over 20 to 30	over 30 to 40	over 40 to 50	over 50 to 60	over 60 to 70	over 70 to 80	over 80 to 90	over 90	Number of Answers	Number of No Answers	Total
1976	41 (6.1)	10 (1.5)	24 (3.6)	28 (4.2)	28 (4.2)	52 (7.7)	55 (8.2)	63 (9.4)	100 (14.9)	271 (40.3)	672 (100.0)	52	724
1977	20 (2.7)	7 (0.9)	22 (2.9)	32 (4.3)	34 (4.6)	54 (7.2)	73 (9.8)	94 (12.6)	107 (14.4)	302 (40.5)	745 (100.0)	18	763
1978	45 (6.3)	15 (2.1)	23 (3.2)	26 (3.6)	27 (3.8)	51 (7.1)	70 (9.8)	80 (11.2)	107 (14.9)	272 (38.0)	716 (100.0)	58	774
1979	(3.5)	12 (1.9)	23 (3.7)	18 (2.9)	27 (4.3)	53 (8.5)	64 (10.3)	94 (15.1)	102 (16.4)	206 (33.2)	621 (100.0)	87	708
1980	30 (4.8)	13 (2.4)	21 (3.9)	16 (3.0)	37 (6.9)	40 (7.5)	47 (8.8)	64 (12.0)	78 (14.6)	187 (35.1)	533 (100.0)	100	633

attended at a general meeting actually. From this table we can see that the number showed between 21 and 80 persons in the majority (59 to 61%) of the companies which gave answers. Table 4 provides ratio of number of shares owned by shareholders who attended at a general meeting actually to the total number of the issued shares. From this table we can see that the ratio showed 5% and under in the majority (53 to 56%) of the companies which gave answers. Table 5 provides ratio of number of shares owned by shareholders who attended at a general meeting by proxies to the total number of the issued shares. From this table we can see that the ratio showed between 50 and 80% in the majority (68 to 71%) of the companies which gave answers. Table 6 provides ratio of number of shares owned by shareholders who attended at a general meeting by proxies to the total number of shares owned by those who attended at it. From this table we can see that the ratio showed between 70 and 100% in the majority (62 to 68%) of the companies which gave answers. And especially it showed over 90 to 100% in about 33 to 41%.

The findings on realities of shareholders who attended at a general meeting are as follows:1

- (1) In many companies which gave answers 80 persons at the most attended at a general meeting actually. And the ratio of shares owned by them was merely 5% and under of the total number of the issued shares.
- (2) In many companies which gave answers the ratio of shares owned by shareholders who attended at a general meeting by proxies accounted for a majority of the total number of the issued shares. And it showed between 70 and 100% of the total number of shares owned by shareholders who attended at it actually and by proxies as well.
- (3) In many companies which gave answers not more than 15 to 30% of the total number of shareholders were present at a general meeting actually and by proxies.

TABLE 7. TIME REQUIRED TO OPERATE A GENERAL MEETING

Number of Companies () %

Answer (Minutes) Year	5 and under	over 5 to 10	over 10 to 15	over 15 to 20	over 20 to 25	over 25 to 30	over 30 to 35	over 35 to 40	over 40 to 50	over 50 to 60	over 60	Number of Answers	Number of No Answers	Total
1976	7 (1.0)	60 (8.3)	216 (30.0)	244 (33.8)	75 (10.4)	68 (9.4)	9 (1.2)	18 (2.5)	11 (1.5)	5 (0.7)	8 (1.1)	721 (100.0)	3	724
1977	(0.0)	18 (2.4)	178 (23.4)	305 (40.1)	141 (18.5)	68 (8.9)	14 (1.8)	10 (1.3)	12 (1.6)	(0.3)	13 (1.7)	761 (100.0)	2	763
1978	12 (1.6)	74 (9.6)	253 (32.7)	252 (32.6)	92 (11.9)	51 (6.6)	7 (0.9)	12 (1.6)	12 (1.6)	6 (0.8)	(0.3)	773 (100.0)	1	774
1979	(0.3)	27 (3.9)	208 (29.7)	247 (35.3)	120 (17.1)	54 (7.7)	20 (2.9)	9 (1.3)	6 (0.9)	5 (0.7)	(0.3)	700 (100.0)	8	708
1980	4 (0.6)	56 (8.9)	215 (34.0)	202 (32.0)	89 (14.1)	36 (5.7)	10 (1.6)	6 (0.9)	. (1.1)	5 (0.8)	(0.3)	632 (100.0)	1	633

¹ Table 20 provides number of "Sokaiya" with whom a company has relations. From this table we can see that the number showed 300 persons and under in the majority (74 to 80%) of the companies which gave answers.

Table 21 provides number of shares owned by "Sokaiya" with whom a company has relations. From this table we can see that the number showed 200 shares and under in the majority (78 to 85%) of the companies which gave answers.

Table 22 provides number of "Sokaiya" who attended at a general meeting actually. From this table we can see that the number showed 10 persons and under in about the half (44 to 47%) of the companies which gave answers.

1980

But the ratio of shares owned by them accounted for between 60 and 90% of the total number of the issued shares.

Secondly, let's clarify realities of expedition of the proceedings in a general meeting. Table 7 provides time required to operate a general meeting. From this table we can see that the time showed between 10 and 25 minutes in the majority (74 to 82%) of the companies which gave answers. Table 8 provides number of shareholders who uttered in a

TABLE 8. NUMBER OF SHAREHOLDERS WHO UTTERED IN A GENERAL MEETING

Number of Companies () % Answer (Persons) 10 Number Number of No 1 2. 3 4 5 6 7 8 and Total Answers Answers over Year 188 (26.5) 200 (28.2) 95 (13.4) 33 (4.6) 7 (1.0) 709 (100.0) 4 6 (0.6) (0.8) (0.0) (0.0) 15 724 1976 (0.1) 234 (31.1) 129 (17.1) 174 (23.1) 150 (19.9) (2.9) (0.4) 5 0 (0.7) (0.0) (0.0)10 763 1977 753 (100.0) (0.1) (4.6)218 (28.6) 201 (26.3) 207 (27.1) 99 (13.0) (0.3) (0.0) $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ (0.1) & (0.1) & (0.1) \end{pmatrix}$ 763 (100.0) 11 774 1978 (0.1)141 (20.3) 7 (1.0) $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (0.0) & (0.0) \end{pmatrix}$ (0.0) (0.0) (0.3) 13 708 1979 (100.0)199 (31.5)

White Paper on General Meetings of Shareholders, 1976-1980. Source:

77 (12.2)

TABLE 9. NUMBER OF SHARES OWNED BY SHAREHOLDERS WHO UTTERED IN A GENERAL MEETING

6 (1.0)

0 0 0 0 (0.0)

631 (100.0)

2

633

]	Number of C	Companies () %
Answer (Shares) Year	1–499	500-999	1,000- 4,999	5,000- 9,999	10,000 and over	Number of Answers	Number of No Answers	Total
1976	348 (50.3)	98 (14.2)	154 (22.3)	39 (5.6)	53 (7.7)	692 (100.0)	32	724
1977	193 (40.4)	162 (33.9)	94 (19.7)	19 (4 0)	10 (2.1)	478 (100.0)	285	763
1978	356 (49.4)	77 (10.7)	183 (25.4)	43 (6.0)	62 (8.6)	721 (100.0)	53	774

Source: White Paper on General Meetings of Shareholders, 1976-1978.

TABLE 10. NUMBER OF SHAREHOLDERS WHO QUESTIONED ABOUT SOME BILL IN A GENERAL MEETING

	616 42 18 7 2 5 1 0 0 0 0 691 (89.1) (6.0) (2.6) (10) (0.3) (0.7) (0.1) (0.0)	mpanies () %											
Answer (Persons) Year	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	and	of	Number of No Answers	Total
1976				7 (1 0)	(0.3)	5 (0.7)	(0.1)			0 (0.0)			33	724
1977				5 (0.7)	7 (0.9)	(0.1)		(0.0)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	746	17	763
1978	687 (93.2)	28 (3.8)	14 (1.9)	(0.7)	3 (0.4)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	737 (100.0)	37	774
1979	647 (93.4)	32 (4.6)	(1.3)	3 (0.4)	(0.1)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	693 (100.0)	15	708
1980	572 (90.8)	34 (5.4)	(1.7)	(1.7)	(0.3)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	630 (100.0)	. 3	633

general meeting. From this table we can see that the number showed 3 persons and under in the majority (64 to 74%) of the companies which gave answers. Furthermore there was no person who uttered in 17 to 32%. Table 9 provides number of shares owned by shareholders who uttered in a general meeting. From this table we can see that the number showed under 5,000 shares in the great majority (86 to 94%) of the companies which gave answers. Table 10 provides number of shareholders who questioned about some bill in a general meeting. From this table we can see that there was no questioner in the great majority (89 to 93%) of the companies which gave answers. Table 11 provides number of shareholders who opposed to some bill in a general meeting. From this table we can see that there was no opposer in the great majority (98 to 99%) of the companies which gave answers. Table 12 provides whether there was any shareholder who made a motion in a general meeting or not. From this table we can see that there were some movers in the majority (47 to 70%) of the companies which gave answers, whereas there was no mover in a little under the half (30 to 53%). Table 13 provides what a motion is made about. From this table we can see that a motion about expediting the proceedings accounted for the great majority (90 to 97%) of the companies which had some movers.

TABLE 11. NUMBER OF SHAREHOLDERS WHO OPPOSED TO SOME BILL IN A GENERAL MEETING

Number Number

Number of Companies () %

(Persons)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	and	of Answers	of No Answers	Total
Year											0101	Answers	Allowers	
1976	686 (98.7)	(0.3)	4 (0.6)	1 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	(0.1)	0 (0.0)	(0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	695 (100.0)	29	724
1977	721 (98.4)	10 (1.4)	1 (0.1)	(0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	(0.0)	0 (0.0)	(0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	733 (100.0)	30	763
1978	726 (99.0)	2 (0.3)	3 (0.4)	(0.1)	(0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.1)	0 (0.0)	733 (100.0)	41	774
1979	681 (98.6)	6 (0.9)	3 (0.4)	(0.0)	(0.0)	0 (0.0)	(0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	691 (100.0)	17	708
1980	617 (98.1)	7 (1.1)	(0.3)	(0.0)	(0.3)	(0.2)	0 (0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	0 (0.0)	629 (100.0)	4	633

Source: White Paper on General Meetings of Shareholders, 1976-1980.

TABLE 12. WHETHER THERE WAS ANY SHAREHOLDER WHO MADE A MOTION IN A GENERAL MEETING OR NOT

Answer

Number of Companies () %

				-	
Answer Year	Yes	No	Number of Answers	Number of No Answers	Total
1976	393 (55.2)	319 (44.8)	712 (100.0)	12	724
1977	525 (70.3)	222 (29.7)	747 (100.0)	16	763
1978	434 (57.6)	320 (42.4)	754 (100.0)	20	774
1979	440 (63.1)	257 (36.9)	697 (100.0)	11	708
1980	297 (47.1)	334 (52.9)	631 (100.0)	7	638

Source: White Paper on General Meetings of Shareholders, 1976-1980.

TABLE 13. WHAT A MOTION IS MADE ABOUT

Number of Companies () %

Answer	to amend a bill (a)	to expedite the pro- ceedings (b)	(a) and (b)	other	Total
1976	10 (2.5)	353 (89.8)	11 (2.8)	19 (4.8)	393 (100.0)
1977	(0.0)	499 (95.0)	8 (1.5)	18 (3.4)	525 (100.0)
1978	(0.7)	411 (94.7)	8 (1.8)	12 (2.8)	434 (100.0)
1979	(0.2)	423 (96.1)	13 (3.0)	3 (0.7)	440 (100.0)
1980	4 (1.3)	287 (96.6)	_	(2.0)	297 (100.0)

The findings on realities of expedition of the proceedings in a general meeting are as follows:

- (1) In almost all companies which gave answers there was neither questioner about any bill nor opposer to it.
- (2) In many companies which gave answers the utterance of shareholders was confined to make a motion about expediting the proceedings. The number of shareholders who uttered was merely 3 persons and under, and their shareholdings were under 5,000 shares too.
- (3) In many companies which gave answers general meetings ended in such a short time as 10 to 25 minutes.

Thirdly, let's clarify realities of solicitation for proxies. Table 14 provides whether solicitation for proxies was carried out before a general meeting or not. From this table we can see that solicitation for proxies was carried out in the great majority (77 to 97%) of the companies which gave answers. Table 15 provides to whom solicitation for proxies was carried out. From this table we can see that solicitation for proxies was carried out to all shareholders in the great majority (93 to 98%) of the companies which did it. Table 16 provides reason why solicitation for proxies was carried out. From this table we can

Table 14. Whether Solicitation for Proxies Was Carried Out before a General Meeting or Not

Number of Companies () %

Answer	Yes	No	Number of Answers	Number of No Answers	Total
1976	559 (78.7)	151 (21.3)	710 (100 0)	14	724
1977	735 (96.7)	25 (3.3)	760 (100.0)	3	763
1978	595 (77.4)	174 (22.6)	769 (100.0)	5	774
1979	683 (97.4)	18 (2.6)	701 (100.0)	7	708
1980	516 (84.6)	94 (15.4)	610 (100.0)	23	633

Source: White Paper on General Meetings of Shareholders, 1976-1980.

TABLE 15. TO WHOM SOLICITATION FOR PROXIES WAS CARRIED OUT

Number of Companies () %

			. , ,,
Answer	to all share- holders	to a part of shareholders	Total
1976	515	44	559
	(92.1)	(7.9)	(100.0)
1977	716	19	735
	(97.4)	(2 6)	(100.0)
1978	554	41	595
	(93.1)	(6.9)	(100.0)
1979	668	15	683
	(97.8)	(2.2)	(100.0)
1980	493	23	516
	(95.5)	(4.5)	(100.0)

Source: White Paper on General Meetings of Shareholders, 1976-1980.

TABLE 16. REASON WHY SOLICITATION FOR PROXIES WAS CARRIED OUT

Number of Companies () %

Answer Year	Because there are some bills needed to fill the quorum	Because it has become a usual practice	Number of Answers	Number of No Answers	Total
1976	405 (73.6)	145 (26.4)	550 (100.0)	9	559
1977	619 (84.4)	114 (15.6)	733 (100.0)	2	735
1978	458 (74.0)	161 (26.0)	619 (100.0)	_	595
1979	570 (83.5)	113 (16.5)	683 (100.0)	0	683
1980	396 (76.7)	120 (23.3)	516 (100.0)	0	516

see that the reason why there are some bills needed to fill the quorum accounted for the majority (74 to 84%) of the companies which gave answers. Table 17 provides ratio of number of proxies sent back from all shareholders to the total number of the issued shares. From this table we can see that the ratio showed between 50 and 80% in the majority (68 to 71%) of the companies which gave answers. Table 18 provides ratio of number of blank proxies to the total number of the issued shares. From this table we can see that the ratio showed between 50 and 100% in the majority (53 to 64%) of the companies which gave answers. Furthermore it did 10% and under in 11 to 23%. Table 19 provides ratio of number of proxies sent back from large shareholders to the total number of the issued shares.² From this table we can see that the ratio showed between 30 and 70% in about the half (45 to 64%) of the companies which gave answers.

The findings on realities of solicitation for proxies are as follows:

(1) In many companies which gave answers solicitation for proxies was carried out to all shareholders by reason that there are some bills needed to fill the quorum.

TABLE 17. RATIO OF NUMBER OF PROXIES SENT BACK FROM ALL SHAREHOLDERS
TO THE TOTAL NUMBER OF THE ISSUED SHARES

Number of Companies () % Answer 10 over 20 to over 30 to over 70 to over 80 to Number Number (%) and of No Answers Total of Answers under 30 40 50 17 (3.1) 150 (27.8) 57 (10.6) 540 (100.0) 125 (23.1) 184 724 1976 (5.4)(18.0)(3.1)37 (5.0) 4 (0.5) 116 195 736 (100.0) 27 763 1977 (6.1)(15.8) (26.5)(26.5) 19 93 (15.7) 68 (11.5) 159 150 593 (100.0) 181 774 1978 (26.8)7 (1.0) 122 673 (100.0) 35 708 1979 (3.9)(3.4)(6.2)(18.1)2 (0.4) 123 (24.0) (2.7)83 (16.2) 121 633 64 (12.5) 512 (100.0) 1980 (2.1) (1.8)(6.8)(30.7)

Source: White Paper on General Meetings of Shareholders, 1976-1980.

TABLE 18. RATIO OF NUMBER OF BLANK PROXIES TO THE TOTAL NUMBER OF THE ISSUED SHARES

										Num	ber of Com	panies ()	%
0	10 and under	over 10 to 20	over 20 to 30	over 30 to 40	over 40 to 50	over 50 to 60	over 60 to 70	over 70 to 80	over 80 to 90	over 90	Number of Answers	Number of No Answers	Total
19 (3.3)	129 . (22.5)	19 (3.3)	45 (7.8)	19 (3.3)	38 (6.6)	62 (10.8)	70 (12.2)	55 (9.6)	61 (10.6)	57 (9.9)	574 (100.0)	150	724
12 (1.8)	112 (16.5)	24 (3.5)	40 (5.9)	34 (5.0)	61 (9.0)	82 (12.1)	80 (11.8)	80 (11.8)	75 (11.1)	78 (11.5)	678 (100.0)	85	763
16 (2.8)	105 (18.6)	33 (5.8)	24 (4.2)	43 (7.6)	37 (6.5)	58 (10.3)	63 (11.2)	70 (12.4)	52 (9.2)	64 (11.3)	565 (100.0)	209	774
13 (2.1)	90 (14.2)	31 (4.9)	46 (7.3)	34 (5.4)	64 (10.1)	73 (11.5)	79 (12.5)	76 (12.0)	71 (11.2)	57 (9.0)	634 (100.0)	74	708
9 (1.9)	53 (11.4)	22 (4.7)	20 (4.3)	20 (4.3)	43 (9.3)	41 (8.8)	66 (14.2)	59 (12.7)	79 (17.0)	52 (11.2)	464 (100.0)	169	633
	19 (3.3) 12 (1.8) 16 (2.8) 13 (2.1) 9	0 and under 19 129 (3.3) (22.5) 12 112 (1.8) (16.5) 16 105 (2.8) (18.6) 13 90 (2.1) (14.2) 9 53	0 and under 20 19 129 19 (3.3) (22.5) (3.3) 12 112 24 (1.8) (16.5) (3.5) 16 105 33 (2.8) (18.6) (5.8) 13 90 31 (2.1) (14.2) (4.9) 9 53 22	0 and under 20 20 to 30 19 19 129 19 45 (3.3) (22.5) (3.3) (7.8) 12 112 24 40 (1.8) (16.5) (3.5) (5.9) 16 105 33 24 (2.8) (18.6) (5.8) (4.2) 13 90 31 46 (2.1) (14.2) (4.9) (7.3) 9 53 22 20	0 and under 20 20 to 30 to 40 20 (3.3) (22.5) (3.3) (7.8) (3.3) (22.5) (3.3) (7.8) (3.3) (12 112 24 40 34 (1.8) (16.5) (3.5) (5.9) (5.0) 16 105 33 24 43 (2.8) (18.6) (5.8) (4.2) (7.6) 13 90 31 46 34 (2.1) (14.2) (4.9) (7.3) (5.4) 9 53 22 20 20	0 and under 20 20 to 30 40 40 to 50 19 129 19 45 19 38 (3.3) (.22.5) (3.3) (7.8) (3.3) (6.6) 12 112 24 40 34 61 (1.8) (16.5) (3.5) (5.9) (5.0) (9.0) 16 105 33 24 43 37 (2.8) (18.6) (5.8) (4.2) (7.6) (6.5) 13 90 31 46 34 64 (2.1) (14.2) (4.9) (7.3) (5.4) (10.1) 9 53 22 20 20 43	0 and under 20 20 to 30 to 40 to 50 to 60 19 129 19 45 19 38 62 (10.8) 12 112 24 40 34 61 82 (18.8) (16.5) (3.5) (5.9) (5.0) (9.0) (12.1) 16 105 33 24 43 37 58 (2.8) (18.6) (18.6) (16.5	0 and under 10 to 30 30 40 40 50 50 60 60 to 70 19 129 19 45 19 38 62 70 (3.3) (22.5) (3.3) (7.8) (3.3) (6.6) (10.8) (12.2) 12 112 24 40 34 61 82 80 (1.8) (16.5) (3.5) (5.9) (5.0) (9.0) (12.1) (11.8) 16 105 33 24 43 37 58 63 (2.8) (18.6) (5.8) (4.2) (7.6) (6.5) (10.3) (11.2) 13 90 31 46 34 64 73 79 (2.1) (14.2) (4.9) (7.3) (5.4) (10.1) (11.5) (12.5) 9 53 22 20 20 43 41 66	0 and under 20 20 to 30 to 40 to 50 60 60 to 70 to 80 19 129 19 45 19 38 62 70 55 (3.3) (22.5) (3.3) (7.8) (3.3) (6.6) (10.8) (12.2) (9.6) 12 112 24 40 34 61 82 80 80 (1.8) (16.5) (3.5) (5.9) (5.0) (9.0) (12.1) (11.8) (11.8) (16.105 33 24 43 37 58 63 70 16 (2.8) (18.6) (5.8) (4.2) (7.6) (6.5) (10.3) (11.2) (12.4) 13 90 31 46 34 64 73 79 76 (2.1) (14.2) (4.9) (7.3) (5.4) (10.1) (11.5) (12.5) (12.0) 9 53 22 20 20 43 41 66 59	0 and under 20 20 to 30 to 40 to 50 to 60 to 70 70 to 80 to 90 to 30 (3.3) (22.5) (3.3) (7.8) (3.3) (6.6) (10.8) (12.2) (9.6) (10.6) (12.112 24 40 34 61 82 80 80 75 (1.8) (16.5) (3.5) (5.9) (5.0) (9.0) (12.1) (11.8) (11.1) (16 105 33 24 43 37 58 63 70 52 (2.8) (18.6) (5.8) (4.2) (7.6) (6.5) (10.3) (11.2) (12.1) (12.4) (12.2) (9.2) (13 90 31 46 34 64 73 79 76 71 (2.1) (14.2) (4.9) (7.3) (5.4) (10.1) (11.5) (12.5) (12.0) (11.2) 9 53 22 20 20 20 43 41 66 59 79	0 10 and under over 20 over 30 to 20 over 40 to 50 to 50 to 50 to 50 to 50 to 50 to 60 to 70 to 80 to 90 over 70 to 80 to 90 over 90 19 129 19 45 19 38 62 70 55 61 57 (3.3) (22.5) (3.3) (7.8) (3.3) (6.6) (10.8) (12.2) (9.6) (10.6) (9.9) 12 112 24 40 34 61 82 80 80 75 78 (1.8) (16.5) (3.5) (5.9) (5.0) (9.0) (12.1) (11.8) (11.8) (11.1) (11.5) 16 105 33 24 43 37 58 63 70 52 64 (2.8) (18.6) (5.8) (4.2) (7.6) (6.5) (10.3) (11.2) (12.4) (9.2) (11.3) 13 90 31 46 34 64 73 79 76 71 5	0 10 and under over 10 to 20 over 30 to 40 to 30 over 40 to 50 over 60 to 70 to 60 to 70 to 80 to 90 over 90 ver 90 ver 6 Answers Number of Answers 19 129 19 45 19 38 62 70 55 61 57 574 (3.3) (22.5) (3.3) (7.8) (3.3) (6.6) (10.8) (12.2) (9.6) (10.6) (9.9) (100.0) 12 112 24 40 34 61 82 80 80 75 78 678 (1.8) (16.5) (3.5) (5.9) (5.0) (9.0) (12.1) (11.8) (11.8) (11.1) (11.5) (100.0) 16 105 33 24 43 37 58 63 70 52 64 565 (2.8) (18.6) (5.8) (4.2) (7.6) (6.5) (10.3) (11.2) (12.4) (9.2) (11.3) (100.0) 13 90	0 and under 20 20 to 30 40 40 to 50 to 60 70 70 to 80 to 90 Answers of No Answers 19 129 19 45 19 38 62 70 55 61 57 574 150 (3.3) (22.5) (3.3) (7.8) (3.3) (6.6) (10.8) (12.2) (9.6) (10.6) (9.9) (100.0) 12 112 24 40 34 61 82 80 80 75 78 678 85 (1.8) (16.5) (3.5) (5.9) (5.0) (9.0) (12.1) (11.8) (11.1) (11.5) (100.0) 16 105 33 24 43 37 58 63 70 52 64 565 209 (2.8) (18.6) (5.8) (4.2) (7.6) (6.5) (10.3) (11.2) (12.4) (9.2) (11.3) (100.0) 13 90 31 46 34 64 73 79 76 71 57 634 74 (2.1) (14.2) (4.9) (7.3) (5.4) (10.1) (11.5) (12.5) (12.0) (11.2) (9.0) (100.0) 9 53 22 20 20 43 41 66 59 79 52 464 100

² Large shareholders to whom solicitation for proxies was carried out were the 30 largest ones in the great majority (83%) of the companies which gave answers, and their total shareholdings accounted for between 40 and 70% of the total number of the issued shares in the majority (61 to 64%).

- (2) In many companies which gave answers proxies gathered from large shareholders accounted for between 30 and 70% of the total number of the issued shares.
- (3) In many companies which gave answers proxies gathered from all shareholders accounted for between 50 and 80% of the total number of the issued shares. They were, for the most part, blank proxies.

TABLE 19. RATIO OF NUMBER OF PROXIES SENT BACK FROM LARGE SHAREHOLDERS TO THE TOTAL NUMBER OF THE ISSUED SHARES

Number of Companies () % Answei over 5 to 10 over 60 to 70 Number of No Answers 5 and over 10 to over 20 to over 30 to over 40 to over 50 to over 70 to over 80 to Number over 90 Total under 30 60 Answers (3.6) 13 (7.8) 23 (13.8) 16 (10.0) 167 (100.0) 557 724 (2.4) $\frac{12}{(7.2)}$ 1976 (6.0)(18.6)(10.2)(18.0) (3.0)30 (9.3) 57 (17.7) 70 (21.7) 39 (12.1) 18 (5.6) 41 (12.7) (9.6) 322 (100.0) 441 763 1977 (1.2)(1.2)(5.6)(3.1)(2.6)19 38 (14.0) 37 (13.7) 17 32 (11.8) 271 (100.0) 503 774 1978 (7.0)(3.3)(8.1)(13.3)(10.3)(6.3)35 (16.0) 37 (16.9) 27 (12.3) 17 (7.8) 480 1979 (5.0) 219 708 (18.3)(4.6)(2.3)(10.0)(4.1)(100.0) 19 (10.1) 17 (9.0) 15 (7.9) 189 (100.0) 444 633 19 1980 (2.1) (6.3)(12.2)(15.9)(6.3)(10.1)(15.3)

Source: White Paper on General Meetings of Shareholders, 1976-1980.

TABLE 20. NUMBER OF "SOKAIYA" WITH WHOM A COMPANY HAS RELATIONS

Number of Companies () %

Answer (Persons)	0	1–100	101-200	201-300	301-400	401-500	501 and over	Number of Answers	Number of No Answers	Total
1976	12 (1.9)	263 (40.7)	170 (26.3)	85 (13.2)	31 (4.8)	36 (5.6)	49 (7.6)	646 (100.0)	78	724
1977	17 (2.4)	255 (36.3)	178 (25.4)	110 (15.7)	37 (5.3)	49 (7.0)	56 (8.0)	702 (100.0)	61	763
1978	14 (2.0)	250 (35.3)	192 (27.1)	103 (14.5)	40 (5.6)	42 (5.9)	68 (9.6)	709 (100.0)	65	774
1979	19 (2.9)	216 (33.3)	188 (29.0)	79 (12.2)	54 (8.3)	38 (5.9)	55 (8.5)	649 (100.0)	59	708
1980	20 (3.4)	199 (34.4)	150 (26.0)	78 (13.5)	42 (7.3)	46 (8.0)	43 (7.4)	578 (100.0)	55	633

Source: White Paper on General Meetings of Shareholders, 1976-1980.

TABLE 21. NUMBER OF SHARES OWNED BY "SOKAIYA" WITH WHOM A COMPANY HAS RELATIONS

Number of Companies () %

Answer (Shares) Year	1-10	11-50	51-100	101- 200	201- 400	401- 600	601- 800	801- 1,000	1,001- 2,000	2,001 and over	Number of Answers	Number of No Answers	Total
1977	93 (13.5)	158 (23.0)	185 (26.9)	102 (14.8)	48 (7.0)	16 (2.3)	6 (0.9)	14 (2.0)	24 (3 5)	41 (6.0)	687 (100.0)	76	763
1978	113 (16.1)	158 (22.5)	219 (31.2)	99 (14.1)	53 (7.5)	12 (1.7)	3 (0.4)	11 (1.6)	17 (2.4)	18 (2.6)	703 (100.0)	71	774
1979	102 (16.1)	145 (22.8)	187 (29.4)	93 (14.6)	36 (5.7)	13 (2.0)	4 (0.6)	13 (2.0)	23 (3.6)	19 (3.0)	635 (100.0)	73	708
1980	115 (20.0)	133 (23.2)	163 (28.4)	75 (13.1)	35 (6.1)	14 (2.4)	2 (0.3)	11 (1.9)	14 (2.4)	12 (2.1)	574 (100.0)	59	633

TABLE 22. NUMBER OF "SOKAIYA" WHO ATTENDED AT A GENERAL MEETING ACTUALLY

Number	οf	Compa	anies	()	9/

Answer (Persons) Year	0	1-3	4-6	7–10	11-14	15–18	19-23	24-28	29-35	36 and over	Number of Answers	Number of No Answers	Total
1976	85 (12.5)	146 (21.4)	84 (12.3)	71 (10.4)	59 (8.7)	42 (6.2)	51 (7.5)	31 (4.5)	39 (5.7)	74 (10.9)	682 (100.0)	42	724
1977	86 (11.9)	135 (18.6)	102 (14.1)	96 (13.3)	40 (5.5)	44 (6.1)	51 (7.0)	32 (4.4)	38 (5.2)	100 (13.8)	724 (100.0)	39	763
1978	84 (11.4)	157 (21.3)	105 (14.3)	81 (11.0)	54 (7.3)	36 (4.9)	46 (6.3)	34 (4.6)	56 (7.6)	83 (11.3)	736 (100.0)	38	774
1979	88 (13.5)	127 (19.5)	91 (14-0)	69 (10.6)	53 (8.1)	50 (7.7)	45 (6.9)	31 (4 8)	43 (6.6)	55 (8.4)	652 (100.0)	56	708
1980	77 (13.3)	120 (20.8)	68 (11.8)	71 (12.3)	41 (7.1)	47 (8.1)	43 (7.4)	21 (3.6)	36 (6.2)	54 (9.3)	578 (100.0)	5.5	633

Source: White Paper on General Meetings of Shareholders, 1976-1980.

3. Conclusion

It has hitherto been said that a general meeting of shareholders in Japan is the first essential organ of a company to decide a collective will of shareholders concerning important affairs for its management. In reality, however, number of shares needed to pass a bill is secured before the day fixed for a general meeting through solicitation for proxies to almost all shareholders. And the fact is that in many companies "Sokaiya" is charged with expediting the proceedings, so that there is little utterance pertaining to deliberation on a bill. As the result of these facts it is nothing extraordinary that there is no substantial deliberation on a bill, such a bill is promptly adopted and so a general meeting ends in a short time. Consequently a general meeting of shareholders in Japan is of no use at all as an organ of decision-making about their collective will. In other words, it has already degenerated into formality. It has been reduced to a mere shell.